

## Sanchez, Rodzandra (COE)

---

**From:** Diaz-Greco, Gilma M. (COE)  
**Sent:** Monday, December 03, 2018 11:20 AM  
**To:** Sanchez, Rodzandra (COE)  
**Subject:** INQ 18-254, Rebecca Sosa, County Commissioner, District 6 ( Voting Conflict – Medvin Apartments Sublease, 2-11.1(d))  
**Attachments:** INQ 18-254 Sosa.pdf

INQ 18-254 Sosa

---

**From:** Arrojo, Jose (COE)  
**Sent:** Monday, December 03, 2018 8:51 AM  
**To:** Fernandez, Alejandro J. (DIST6) <Alejandro.Fernandez2@miamidade.gov>  
**Cc:** Murawski, Michael P. (COE) <Michael.Murawski@miamidade.gov>; Perez, Martha D. (COE) <Martha.Perez2@miamidade.gov>; Diaz-Greco, Gilma M. (COE) <Gilma.Diaz-Greco@miamidade.gov>; Turay, Radia (COE) <Radia.Turay@miamidade.gov>; Ross, Rachelle (COE) <Rachelle.Ross@miamidade.gov>; Sanchez, Rodzandra (COE) <Rodzandra.Sanchez@miamidade.gov>  
**Subject:** INQ 18-254, Voting Conflict – Medvin Apartments Sublease, 2-11.1(d)

Dear Mr. Fernandez:

Thank you for contacting the Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust regarding a voting conflict opinion for Commissioner Rebeca Sosa.

Please thank Commissioner Sosa for continuing to seek our guidance on these matters.

Best regards,

**Jose J. Arrojo**

Executive Director  
Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust  
19 W. Flagler Street, Suite 820  
Miami, FL 33130  
[Jose.Arrojo@miamidade.gov](mailto:Jose.Arrojo@miamidade.gov)  
Tel: (305) 579-2594  
Fax: (305) 579-0273  
<http://ethics.miamidade.gov/>





MIAMI-DADE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST

19 West Flagler Street, Suite 820 □ Miami, Florida 33130

Phone: (305) 579-2594 □ Facsimile: (305) 579-0273

Website: [ethics.miamidade.gov](http://ethics.miamidade.gov)

---

---

**MEMORANDUM**

---

---

**TO:** Honorable Rebeca Sosa  
District 6 Commissioner

**FROM:** Jose Arrojo, Executive Director  
Commission on Ethics

**SUBJECT:** INQ 18-254, Voting Conflict – Ground Lease of Medvin Apartments  
Site to Develop Brickell Solutions Teachers Workforce Housing, Section  
2-11.1(d)

**DATE:** December 3, 2018

**CC:** All COE Legal Staff

---

Thank you for contacting the Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust and requesting our guidance regarding the following proposed transaction.

Facts: You have advised Item No. 8(K)(1) is scheduled to be heard before the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) on Tuesday, December 4, 2018. You inquire whether you would have a voting conflict of interest under Section 2-11.1(d) of the Miami-Dade Ethics Code, in voting or otherwise participating in the discussion of the item.

The item consists of a resolution authorizing the Mayor to apply to U.S. HUD for the development of the former Medvin Apartments public housing development site and to subsequently lease the site for ninety-nine (99) years at the annual cost of one dollar (\$1.00) per year to the School Board of Miami-Dade County. The purpose of the lease is to allow for the expansion of educational capacity for youths in neighborhoods in the Brickell area and to allow for on-site workforce housing for teachers and other school employees. The housing units will be subleased back to the County by the School Board; the County will manage and collect rent from tenants.

Discussion: You are employed as a Curriculum/Program Facilitator at Lindsey Hopkins Technical Center (LHTC), an adult educational facility, which is part of Miami-Dade County Public Schools (M-DCPS).

LHTC provides training to adult students in various career technical programs. The school also offers adult general education, which allows students to obtain their GED diploma. The post-secondary career technical education programs are offered in the areas of construction & apprenticeship, transportation, commercial foods & culinary arts, childcare, personal services and health occupations.

In the past, the Commission on Ethics has opined that your employment with MDCPS does not create an automatic voting or participation conflict for you on BCC items affecting that government agency. The issue of a voting conflict on such matters is more narrowly described as whether you might, directly or indirectly, profit or be enhanced by the item in question. Our review of Item No. No. 8(K)(1) did not present any likelihood that you would, personally or professionally, be affected in any way by the item.

More specifically, your position with the public schools at an adult educational facility in the Allapattah neighborhood would not be affected by the adoption of this resolution which seeks to provide seven hundred student spaces as an expansion of educational capacity for youths in this Brickell neighborhood and workforce housing for teachers that will be working at the site.

Opinion: Under the details provided to me concerning this item allowing the Mayor to make application to U.S. HUD for the development of the former Medvin Apartments public housing development site and to subsequently lease the site for ninety-nine (99) years at the annual cost of one dollar (\$1.00) per year to the School Board of Miami-Dade County for the expansion of educational capacity for youths in neighborhoods in the Brickell area and to allow for on-site workforce housing for teachers and other school employees, I do not believe that you will profit or be enhanced, and, therefore, I do not believe that you are prohibited under Section 2-11.1(d) from participating or voting on this item.

This opinion is limited to the facts as you presented them to the Commission on Ethics and is limited to an interpretation of the County Ethics Code only and is not intended to interpret state laws. Questions regarding state ethics laws should be addressed to the Florida Commission on Ethics.

INQs are informal ethics opinions provided by the legal staff after being reviewed and approved by the Executive Director. INQs deal with opinions previously addressed in public session by the Ethics Commission or within the plain meaning of the County Ethics Code. RQOs are opinions provided by the Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust when the subject matter is of great public importance or where there is insufficient precedent. While these are informal opinions, covered parties that act contrary to the opinion may be referred to the Advocate for preliminary review or investigation and may be subject to a formal Complaint filed with the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.