



**FILE COPY**

CLERK OF THE BOARD

2010 JUL -7 PM 3:29

CLERK, CIRCUIT & COUNTY COURTS  
DADE COUNTY, FLA.  
#1

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY  
COMMISSION ON ETHICS & PUBLIC TRUST**

**In re: ROSA GREEN**  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

**C 10-17**

**PUBLIC REPORT AND DISMISSAL ORDER**

The Assistant Advocate for the Commission on Ethics filed the above-referenced COMPLAINT on March 23, 2010, against RESPONDENT Rosa Green, a member of the City of Miami Overtown Community Oversight Board. The COMPLAINT alleged that Ms. Green violated Sec. 2-11.1(i)(1) of the County Ethics Code when she failed to file financial disclosure forms.

Pursuant to the Code of Miami-Dade County, Sec. 2-1068, the jurisdiction of the Ethics Commission extends "to any person required to comply with the ... County or municipal Code of Ethics Ordinances." The County Ethics Code at Sec. 2-11.1 (i)(1), *Financial disclosure*, states that municipal advisory board members must file by July 1<sup>st</sup> of each year "an itemized source of income statement, under oath and on a form approved by the County for said purpose."

The Ethics Commission staff found the COMPLAINT to be LEGALLY SUFFICIENT based on documentation presented by the City Clerk for the City of Miami. On March 23, 2010, the Ethics Commission found PROBABLE CAUSE existed to charge RESPONDENT with failure to file financial disclosure for 2007. On June 7, 2010, an amended COMPLAINT was filed to charge RESPONDENT for failure to file financial disclosure for 2008 as well. Subsequently, the Ethics Commission staff made numerous attempts to contact RESPONDENT to advise her of

the COMPLAINT against her and of the financial disclosure requirements associated with board service. Once RESPONDENT was contacted and advised of her responsibility to file, she complied immediately.

On June 23, 2010, the Ethics Commission granted the Assistant Advocate's MOTION TO VOLUNTARILY DISMISS the COMPLAINT. Sec. 2-1074 (s) of the County Code states that the Ethics Commission may, at its discretion, "... dismiss any complaint at any stage of disposition should it determine that the public interest would not be served by proceeding further...." Since RESPONDENT complied with the financial disclosure requirement associated with board service, the Ethics Commission reasoned that the public would not be served in continuing with this matter.


Therefore it is:

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT** the COMPLAINT against RESPONDENT Rosa Green is hereby DISMISSED.

**DONE AND ORDERED** by the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics & Public Trust in public session on June 23, 2010.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS  
& PUBLIC TRUST

By:

  
Kerry E. Rosenthal, Esq.  
Chairman

Signed on this date: 7/2/10