



ETHICS COMMISSIONERS

KERRY E. ROSENTHAL
Chairperson

GAILA. DOTSON
Vice Chairperson

SEYMOUR GELBER

DAWN E. ADDY

MAGDA ABDO-GOMEZ

ROBERT A. MEYERS
Executive Director

MICHAEL P. MURAWSKI
Advocate

ARDYTH WALKER
Staff General Counsel

July 2, 2007

Stephen Schwartz
Vice-President
Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.
5201 Blue Lagoon Drive
9th Floor
Miami, FL 33126

RE: REQUEST FOR ADVISORY OPINION RQO 07-19

Dear Mr. Schwartz:

The Commission on Ethics and Public Trust considered your request for an advisory opinion at its meeting on June 26, 2007 and rendered its opinion based on the facts stated in your letter.

You requested an opinion regarding whether a firm may serve as bond engineer if the firm is currently providing engineering or other professional services to a private entity that may provide solid-waste collection, transfer, processing or other disposal services to the Miami-Dade County during the term of the bond engineering contract.

The Miami-Dade Solid Waste Department provides solid-waste collection, transfer, disposal and recycling services for the residents of Miami-Dade County. Miami-Dade County owns or has long-term leases for three solid-waste transfer stations: Northeast Transfer Station, Central Transfer Station and West Transfer Station. Miami-Dade County owns four active disposal sites: the South Dade Landfill, the North Dade Landfill, the Ash Landfill and the Resource Recovery Facility. Waste Managements owns a fifth

facility that has a long-term agreement with Miami-Dade County.

Miami-Dade County currently has long-term interlocal agreements with 27 municipalities for solid waste disposal. Miami-Dade County must approve construction or modification of any private solid waste facility that would compete with Miami-Dade County for the delivery of solid waste disposal services.

The Office of Capital Improvements recently issued a Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) for a Bond Engineer for the Department of Solid Waste. The scope of services provides that the Bond Engineer "will conduct an annual evaluation of the County solid waste system, including the Resources Recovery facility and prepare an annual report on the condition of the system and financial records pursuant to Section 607 of the Bond Ordinance. Further, pursuant to the Operating and Management agreement between Montenay and Miami-Dade County, the Bond Engineer shall evaluate the physical condition and operating efficiency of the Resource Recovery facility and make recommendations regarding rates, charges and necessary capital improvements.

The Bond Engineer is also responsible for making recommendations and approvals regarding the County's landfills including closure plans in effect with the City of North Miami for the Munisport Landfill, the City of Miami for the Virginia Key Landfill and the City of Homestead for the Homestead Landfill. Specifically, the Bond Engineer is responsible for conducting inspections and approving grant payments pursuant to existing interlocal agreements between the County and the respective municipality.

Finally, the Bond Engineer may be tasked with other miscellaneous responsibilities that do not conflict with other tasks required under

the Solid Waste bond ordinances and other agreements.

A firm would not have a prohibited conflict of interest if the firm has provided or is providing engineering or other professional services to private entities (i.e. Waste Management, BFI or Allied Waste) that could potentially provide solid waste collection, transfer, processing or disposal services to Miami-Dade County during the term of the bond engineering agreement. However, a firm may not represent a private entity or provide services related to any agreement between the Department of Solid Waste and the private entity during the term of the bond engineering agreement because such an assignment would conflict with the scope of services under the bond engineering agreement.

The Ethics Commission has opined that certain contractual arrangements create an inherent conflict of interest and should be determined prior to award. For example, a conflict exists if a contractor has overlapping responsibilities on different phases of the same project (i.e. AE on one phase of the project and serving as value engineer, CIS or CM partner on another phase of the project; supervisor or prime on one phase of the project and subcontractor on another or related phase or project). Further, a conflict may exist if there are overlapping roles on responsibilities between various members of a team (i.e. a member serves as prime contractor on one contract and as a subcontractor to another contractor on another contract) or if there are overlapping scopes of work between two agreements. These arrangements create conflict because they lead to disclosure of confidential information and impair independent judgment by the contractor in the performance of their contractual obligations.

Accordingly, a firm may provide professional services to a private entity that does not affect any agreement between the entity and the Department of Solid Waste. A prohibited conflict would be created if the firm provided professional services related to an agreement between the firm and the Department of Solid Waste because of the overlapping responsibilities.

This opinion construes the Miami-Dade Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics ordinance only and is not applicable to any conflict under state law. Please contact the State of Florida Commission on Ethics if you have any questions regarding possible conflicts under state law.

If you have any questions regarding this opinion, please call the undersigned at (305) 579-2594 or Ardyth Walker, Staff General Counsel at (305) 350-0616.

Sincerely Yours,

ROBERT MEYERS
Executive Director

cc: Faith Samuels, Office of Capital
Improvement