### INQ 16-179 Hodge

From: Ethics (COE)

Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 8:34 AM

To: Hodge, Warren S. (ISD) < warrenh@miamidade.gov>

**Cc:** Turay, Radia (COE) <Radia.Turay@miamidade.gov>; Perez, Martha D. (COE) <perezmd@miamidade.gov>; Diaz-Greco, Gilma M. (COE) <GDIAZGR@miamidade.gov>; Sanchez, Gerald (CAO) <gks@miamidade.gov>; Kirtley, Eddie (CAO)

<kirtley@miamidade.gov>

Subject: INQ 16-179 Warren Hodge, Console Security Specialist, ISD/FUMD (Outside Employment)

### Mr. Hodge:

The ordinance requiring County employees to seek and receive approval for outside employment, Section 2-11, is not part of the ethics code. Any department director has the discretion to approve or deny outside employment, depending on whether it is deemed appropriate, not necessarily because it creates a conflict of interest. This Commission on Ethics is limited to determining whether particular outside employment by a County employee violates conflict of interest rules, regardless of whether the employment has been approved by departmental supervisors. The Commission on Ethics may deny a request for outside employment based upon provisions of the Ethics Code, but it does not review administrative decisions that deny permission for outside employment.

# Joseph M. Centorino

Executive Director and General Counsel Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust 19 W. Flagler Street, Suite 820 Miami, FL 33130

Tel: (305) 579-2594 Fax: (305) 579-0273 ethics.miamidade.gov



From: Hodge, Warren S. (ISD) Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 4:11 PM

To: Ethics (COE) < <a href="mailto:ethics@miamidade.gov">ethics@miamidade.gov</a> > Subject: FW: OTV Copier Attached Image

From: Hodge, Warren S. (ISD) Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 3:50 PM

To: 'ethic@miamidade.gov'

Cc: Seadoreia@Gmail.com; Bennett, Derek (ISD)

**Subject:** FW: OTV Copier Attached Image

Please Ethics Council could you look to see if I'm in violation of any county rules regarding outside employment, I've been approved for 17 years and this year I was denied based upon the use of my sick leave do to a medical condition I've been dealing with. My outside employment is not a conflict with Miami Dade county schedule and I feel I've been wrongfully punished for it check it for me.

From: OVT@miamidade.gov [mailto:OVT@miamidade.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 07, 2016 4:54 PM

To: Smith, Tara C. (ISD)

Cc: Bennett, Derek (ISD); Hodge, Warren S. (ISD); <a href="mailto:seadoreia@gmail.com">seadoreia@gmail.com</a>

**Subject:** OTV Copier Attached Image

#### Rodzandra:

Please add this to both the digital and paper file for INQ 16-179.

Thanks,

Gilma (Mimi) Diaz-Greco Staff Attorney



Miami-Dade Commission on Ethics and Public Trust

19 W. Flagler Street, Suite 820 Miami, FL 33130

Tel: (305) 579-2594 Fax: (305) 579-0273 gdiazgr@miamidade.gov

www.facebook.com/MiamiDadeEthics

From: Ethics (COE)

Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 8:36 AM

To: Turay, Radia (COE) <Radia.Turay@miamidade.gov>; Perez, Martha D. (COE) <perezmd@miamidade.gov>; Diaz-

Greco, Gilma M. (COE) < GDIAZGR@miamidade.gov>

Subject: INQ 16-179 Warren Hodge, ISD

From: Hodge, Warren S. (ISD)
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 4:13 PM

To: Ethics (COE) < <a href="mailto:ethics@miamidade.gov">ethics@miamidade.gov</a>>
Subject: FW: OTV Copier Attached Image

**From:** Hodge, Warren S. (ISD) **Sent:** Friday, July 08, 2016 3:51 PM

To: Ethics (COE)

Subject: FW: OTV Copier Attached Image

From: Hall, Beverly (ISD)

**Sent:** Friday, July 08, 2016 9:37 AM

To: Hodge, Warren S. (ISD)

Cc: Regula, Pamela (ISD); Singer, Miriam (ISD); Silva, Juan C. (ISD); Schweiger, Joseph (ISD)

**Subject:** FW: OTV Copier Attached Image

Warren,

The Department Director's response to your grievance is attached.

From: Hall, Beverly (ISD)

Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 9:26 AM

To: SeAdoreia Brown (seadoreia@gmail.com) <seadoreia@gmail.com>

Cc: Regula, Pamela (ISD) < regula@miamidade.gov >; Edwards, Michael (HR) < MXX@miamidade.gov >; Silva, Juan C. (ISD)

<silvaj@miamidade.gov>; Horne, Charlotte (ISD) <DENISE2@miamidade.gov>

Subject: FW: OTV Copier Attached Image

Ceecee,

The Department Director's response to the grievance filed on behalf of Warren Hodge is attached.

# Department Director's Response Grievance filed by Mr. Warren S. Hodge Disapproval of Outside Employment Request

I am upholding my decision to deny Mr. Hodge's outside employment. I have reviewed the facts, and what is in the best interest of this Department in making this decision. I have discussed with FUMD management that these communications about excessive leave should occur during the course of the year, not just during a performance evaluation.

I wish Mr. Hodge improved health.

# METROPOLITAN DADE COUNTY 5. EMPLOYEE STANDARD GRIEVANCE FORM

Defore completing this written grievance, carefully read the instructions on the back page.

Name of Peoploye	Cons	sole Securi	ity Specia	list 1	PRINT CLEARLY	3	00 06	011	_ •
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Job Tille:		in de le graffi Linda	Depar	tment and Division	in:	•
Warren (						6/	22/2016	1	
linmediate Superv			2.0				Date(s) disc	ussed:	
	<u>oh Schwei</u>		Title	L	ng Manag	jer			
Below, select the g procedure, '(Check	rievance proce (one)	dure to be follo	owed for proce	ssing this p	pievance. You	will be bound )	by your choice of	a grieyance	-
	Career Servi	ce Grievance Pr	ocedure (Adir	inistrative	Order No. 7-1	8)			
V		argaining Grieva	unce Procedum	). 			y.		
•	AFSCM	ME local 19 ployee associati	9	ajese e i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			•	2	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	holes syonan	on or union to	ar represen	пт уон.				
······································	Paris Santa Company of the Paris	-	**************************************		Total and the second	and the second second	Marie Control of the		-
Δ	In this section	n provide a com	iplete stateme	nt of the gi	ievance, the ba	si for the griev	ance and the rem	eity	
Y R.	rednesrea: (	See Instruction:	sj*. 			•		· •	₹.
See Attachm	onf:			41			<del></del>		7
ZOO:/ARAGERIT	பெழ்.		٠			•	• -		
:						.s			
						•		÷	
	*		1.51			•		•	
		•							
	<b>4</b> ·	*	•	54:					
		**		*4			•	₹.	
	,		•		•				
				•					
				. 41	* <u>*</u>				1
		4	* :	59		_	•		
	•		•	*:	¥*	•	١.		
				***	•	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	. *.		4
j.		2		•		A.,	•		1
				÷	4.			,	
	•	•				•	•	·	
			gilg pyragovara for värg. Taladada ole til til til	general de de la lace. <del>La lagranda de</del>	agar a pangan manada bisa.		programmes transcript		.e
				- <b>-</b>	Signature of	Charles and a	whom presented:		~*
oyec's Signature a	id Date presen	ited to Supervisi	VI.	4.	migirarate of	OUNCIAINOL IO			
oyee's Signature a	477	ited to Supervisi 6/23/201			Title:	on berarior 10	unom bicoenten	<u> </u>	:: 

		<del></del>		WRITTEN GRIEVANCE or Relational			
				•		* ,	
	,						
				•	· ·	•	
		•		-	¥.		ļ
	ļ.				_	•	
	,		4.		-	•	
				•	•.		
			٠,			•	
		•			•		
			·	ě.			
						•	
	, .		. ·		•	·	
			•	•*	•		
					*		
		4	*				
		4					
	Supervisor's Signature and I	Not the same of the		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1.
	tal Basistara diBiocote Sift t	- ws. proximate to empt	oyee;	Signature of Employee:	***	7	-
	Parameter and I	processor to complete	oyae;	Signature of Employee:			
	President and experience and experience			·	distribution of the state of th		<b>.</b>
				Signature of Employees	distribution of the state of th	n Director.	<b>.</b>
	President and experience and experience			·	distribution of the state of th	in Director.	
				·	distribution of the state of th	n Director.	
				·	distribution of the second	in Director.	
				·	distribution of the second	n Director.	
				·	distribution of the second	in Director.	
			ved, the employee ma	y comment below then spr	distribution of the second	in Directors.	
	Employee's Comments			y comment below then spr	distribution of the second	n Director.	
			ved, the employee ma	y comment below then spr	distribution of the second	n Director.	
	Employee's Comments		ved, the employee ma	y comment below then spr	distribution of the second	n Director.	
	Employee's Comments		ved, the employee ma	y comment below then spr	distribution of the second	in Director.	
	Employee's Comments		ved, the employee ma	y comment below then spr	distribution of the second	in Directors.	
**	Employee's Comments		ved, the employee ma	y comment below then spr	distribution of the second	n Director.	
**************************************	Employee's Comments		ved, the employee ma	y comment below then spr	distribution of the second	n Director.	
· Committee of the comm	Employee's Comment	Il grievance is not resolu	ved, the employee ma	y comment below thea spr	oed grisvance to Divisio	m Director.	
	Employee's Comments	Il grievance is not resolu	ved, the employee ma	y comment below then spr	oed grisvance to Divisio	n Director.	

DIVISION DIRECTOR'S RE	PLY TO GRIEVANCE
See attached.	Sor Heistons!
	•
Division Director's Signature and Date presented to employee:	Signature of Employee:
	ay comment below then appeal grievance to Dept. Director.
see attachment	
Comployee's signature indicating appeal to Dept. Director: Date appeal submitted: 7/7/2016	Signature of Department Director:
DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR'S REPLY 1 (Priolocopy to Labor Relation	O GRIEVANCE
	100 K
See Attached	
	e de saures e alutareggi gios contraverse escas e en traves d'une se anna accesa de l'observationes de l'observ
Separtinent in the Signature and Data presented to Employee:	Signature of Employee:
77/6 Page 3	

The Miami-Dade Internal Services Department is in violation of the following Articles of the AFSCME local 199 Collective Bargaining Agreement:

Article 1, Agreement: The Miami-Dade Internal Services Department has failed to comply with this agreement which is in place from October 1, 2014 until September 30, 2017, by failing to abide by the terms and conditions of this agreement.

Article 6, Non-Discrimination: The Miami Dade County Internal Services Department is violating terms and conditions of the agreement by retaliating against employee and union member Warren Hodge.

Article 65, Prevailing Benefits: The Miami Dade Internal Services Department has violated the rights of bargaining unit member Warren Hodge by refusing Mr. Hodge's outside employment after over 10 years of approval.

Any and all other applicable articles

Facts: My supervisor Joseph Schweiger recommended that my outside employment be disapproved. Mr. Schweiger stated that the disapproval was due to my call outs over a two year-period. He stated that my sick leave was in conjunction with my days off. I was never informed, verbally or written, that my attendance had become a problem. This was noted on my evaluation; however I never received any type of discipline or corrective measures for my absences. To my knowledge I was in good standing and well within my rights. My sick leave was due to my medical condition and not my outside employment. I have been approved for approximately 10 years with this company's contract and 7 years with previous companies. Those are years of approved outside employment that I have worked without any issues that is not being taken into consideration. My outside employment does not conflict with my schedule with Miami-Dade County. I've always arrived and left the job on time. My supervisor always approved my e-par without any disapproval, so I could only come to the conclusion that my attendance is in good standing. I need my outside employment to support my family.

Remedy: The Miami Dade Internal Services Department adheres and abides to all the provisions of the AFSCME Local 199 Collective Bargaining Agreement. Joseph Schweiger stops retaliating against Mr. Hodge and his request for outside employment is reconsidered and approved. Mr. Hodge is made whole.

## Hodge grievance 6/22/16

In response the grievance file by Mr. Hodge dated 6/22/23:

1) Article 1, Agreement: The County is in compliance.

2) Article 6, Non-Discrimination: Mr. Hodges alleges that that he is being discriminated against as an employee and member of the Union. It should be noted that his co-worker Mr. Derek Bennet is a black Afro-American and a Union Steward who's application for outside employment was approved.

3) Article 65, Prevailing Benefits: Outside employment is privilege and not a right or benefit of employment. In fact outside employment must be applied for and approved for each year it is requested and said approval only covers the current year's request.

Facts: Prior to Mr. Hodges's evaluation in July 2015 the issue of his attendance was informally discussed with him on several occasions by his supervisor. His evaluation noted that he had been absent 25 times during the evaluation period resulting in his "work habits" being rated as an area that "needs improvement." In addition to the high degree of absenteeism it was noted that 76% of the time his absence corresponded with scheduled days off of Friday and Saturday. It was noted in his evaluation that "his absences created a burden for other staff members who are called upon to cover his shift." It was also stated in his evaluation that Mr. Hodge was performing at essentially the minimal level acceptable to achieve a satisfactory rating.

In May of 2015 the CSS staff bid for shifts and Mr. Hodge with his seniority received his first choice and now had his weekends off. His attendance from May until January when he applied for outside employment for 2016 did not improve in fact it got worse. He missed as many days during this eight month period as he did in the 12 months of his prior evaluation and additionally had nine occasions where he did not report for his shift on time. During this interval his attendance was once again informally discussed with him by his supervisor. It was also brought to his attention by his supervisor, that when calling out Mr. Hodge needed to speak with his supervisor and that simply leaving messages with coworkers was insufficient. His supervisor could not give full consideration to his situation if all he got was a cryptic message that he was not coming in. In spite of the above circumstances, his supervisor consented to allow Mr. Hodge's use annual time for his late arrivals so he would not have his paycheck docked, as would have been the case if "U" or "UN" had been used.

Mr. Hodge refers to his medical condition as the reason for this absences. He did not disclose his medical condition until a meeting with his supervisor on June 3, 2106. At that meeting he indicated he had submitted paperwork for FMLA and that he was continuing his outside employment even though he had received the denial of outside employment on May 19, 2016. A subsequent meeting was held on June 9, 2016 with Joe Schweiger his supervisor, Mr. Hodge, Derek Bennett (union steward) and SeAdoreia Brown, ASCFME President (who attended by phone). His outside employment issue was discussed, its denial was acknowledged and Mr. Hodge was supposed to give his outside employer a courtesy 2 week notice the next day. FMLA leave usage was also discussed pending its approval and the general concept of setting attendance goals and then reevaluating his outside employment request based upon achieving those goals.

In conclusion, the denial of outside employment by the County was justified and we further find there to be no merit in this grieyance.

This is my rebuttal to Mr. Silva's response. I want to make it perfectly clear that I was never informed, verbally or written, by my supervisor that my attendance was a problem.

Joe Schweiger stated to shop steward Derek Bennett, and union President Seadoreia Brown that he had never counseled me in any form. He called me into his office and presented me with my evaluation and told me to look at it, and asked me if I had any questions. I said no and signed it and walked out. At no time did Mr. Schweiger tell me I was under any observation or monitoring for attendance.

Mr. Silva also acknowledged to both Mr. Bennett and President Brown that he was aware Joe never counseled me. Joe Schweiger has always had problems when it came to addressing me verbally, which is bad communication as a supervisor. My doctor at that time was scheduled to be on the south Dade side of town only on Wednesdays and Fridays and most of my appointments were scheduled as such. I always called out with enough time so they could get the coverage if needed. Joe told me not to call the desk but call him or Nick if I wanted to call out, so I did and he would answer," ok thank, you appreciate the call". To my knowledge I was using sick leave in the proper manner. I have always maintained a satisfactory or above satisfactory on my evaluations, so for Mr. Silva to say otherwise is subjective and unfounded. Furthermore, it shows the judgmental actions of my supervisors to deny me the right to support my family by denying me outside employment when there is no conflict with my outside employment and Miami Dade county, and to use it as punishment is unethical and unbecoming of Miami Dade county.

Mr. Silva's response does not resolve the issue to my satisfaction, therefore i would like to advance this to Step 4 of the Grievance Procedure of the AFSCME LOCAL 199 Collective Bargaining Agreement.

Regards,

Warren Hodge