
From: Diaz-Greco, Gilma M. (COE)
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2015 1:53 PM
To: Sanchez, Rodzandra (COE)
Subject: Quail Roost Park and Ride Projec, Cone of Silence enquiry INQ 15-241

INQ 15-241 Williams

From: Williams, Curt (ISD)
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2015 1:40 PM
To: Murawski, Michael P. (COE)
Cc: Centorino, Joseph (COE); Diaz-Greco, Gilma M. (COE)
Subject: RE: Quail Roost Park and Ride Projec, Cone of Silence enquiry INQ 15-241

Thank you for your help Mike.

Curt

Curt L.A. Williams
Sr. A/E Consultant Selection Coordinator
Internal Services Department
Miami-Dade County
111 N.W. 1st Street, 13th Floor
Miami, FL 33128
(305) 375-1100

willic@miamidade.gov

"Delivering Excellence Every Day"



From: Murawski, Michael P. (COE)
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2015 12:17 PM
To: Williams, Curt (ISD)
Cc: Centorino, Joseph (COE); Diaz-Greco, Gilma M. (COE)
Subject: FW: Quail Roost Park and Ride Projec, Cone of Silence enquiry INQ 15-241
Importance: High

Hi Curt:

I have discussed this issue with our Executive Director, we are of the opinion that it would NOT constitute a Cone of Silence violation for this bidder to communicate with RER regarding the preliminary approval as it appears that RER is, in no way responsible for making the final selection or determination as to what bidder will ultimately be awarded the project. Moreover, since the bid documents themselves require approval from RER as a condition, the bid document language controls over the Cone of Silence. To the extent that the bidder communicates with RER in writing, those writings should be CC'd to the Clerk of the Board.

If you have any other questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

Mike Murawski

From: Williams, Curt (ISD)
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2015 2:21 PM
To: Murawski, Michael P. (COE)
Subject: Quail Roost Park and Ride Projec, Cone of Silence enquiry

Mike, below is the question in red. Section 2, Part c. from the Advertised Project Document is highlighted in yellow below. The Contractor is required to obtain preliminary approval for their project plan/concept from the MDC RER Department. I do not communicate with the RER Department in any way. This is an independent approval that the Contractor seeks on its own, then simply presents the approval letter within their sealed proposal on the due date.

Can you advise what my response should be?

Thanks for your help.

Curt

Our office represents one of the “qualified” teams moving forward to Step 2 the Evaluation of Technical Proposal and Price.

My question is concerning Section 2 of the RDBS. Specifically, Section C requires the bidders to obtain a letter from Miami-Dade’s Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources verifying concurrence with our development path. In order to obtain this information we are going to have to meet with staff from that Department and explain our approach to this project. Is this permissible in light of the Cone of Silence highlighted in Section 1.11?

The Lasarte Law Firm
3250 NE 1 Ave
Suite 334
Miami, FL 33137
Office: 305-594-2877
Fax: 305-594-2878

Excerpt from the Advertised Project Document:

Section 2: CONCEPT DESIGN

The Design-Build Team shall specifically present the following minimum design and information:

- a. A written design approach.
- b. Site plan/concept design that meet the requirements of Agencies Having Jurisdiction (AHJ), as identified in the Summary of Work, paragraph 1.02.D.1.c of the Design Criteria Package. Design-Build Team must include evidence of coordination with the AHJ.
- c. Site plan/concept design developed to a point that is ready for submittal to the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (MDCRER), to obtain development approval (i.e. either a Governmental Facilities Hearing, an Administrative Site Plan Review or an application for a Zoning Hearing). The selected development approval path must be demonstrated by the Design-Build Team with a letter from MDCRER specifying concurrence with the selected planning process approach.
- d. The site plan/concept design must include the parking layout, parking circulation, layout of parking spaces, bicycle parking areas, carpool/vanpool parking location, accessible parking spaces, location of guard booth,

location of passenger walkway canopy and iconic tower, landscaping, street(s) ingress/egress, connectivity and sidewalks as required, perimeter fence, furniture, and other significant elements of design addressed in the Design Criteria Package.

Curt L.A. Williams

Sr. A/E Consultant Selection Coordinator

Internal Services Department

Miami-Dade County

111 N.W. 1st Street, 13th Floor

Miami, FL 33128

(305) 375-1100

willic@miamidade.gov

“Delivering Excellence Every Day”

